

**Instructions**

- Answer all questions. Each question must begin on a **new page**.
- Provide complete justifications for your answers. Partial/unjustified answers may not receive full credit.
- Mention assumptions and conditions clearly wherever necessary.

1. Consider a dataset  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=1}^N$  and a nonlinear transformation  $z_n = \Phi(x_n)$ . The PLA is run on the transformed data  $\{z_n, y_n\}$  and is observed to converge in  $T$  updates. Assume:

- $\|z_n\| \leq R$  for all  $n$ ; • the final PLA weight vector satisfies  $\|w\| = W$ ;
- the margin of this separator is  $\gamma = \min_n y_n w^\top z_n$  (value unknown).

Now, before running PLA again, you apply a second linear mapping  $u_n = \Psi(z_n)$ , where  $\Psi$  has singular values in the interval  $[s_{\min}, s_{\max}]$ . Let  $T'$  be the number of PLA updates required after the second mapping.

- (a) Derive upper and lower bounds on  $T'$  in terms of  $s_{\min}$ ,  $s_{\max}$  and  $T$ . [4]
- (b) Give a simple condition (in terms of  $s_{\min}$  and  $s_{\max}$ ) under which PLA is guaranteed to make fewer updates after the mapping, i.e.  $T' < T$ . [4]
2. Let  $x$  is some integer in the set  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, 50, 51, 52\}$ , and where each hypothesis  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  is an interval of the form  $b \leq x \leq a$ , with  $b$  and  $a$  as any integers between 1 and 52 (inclusive), so long as  $b \leq a$ . A hypothesis  $b \leq x \leq a$  labels instance  $x$  positive if  $x$  falls into the interval defined by  $a$  and  $b$ , and labels the instance negative otherwise.
- (a) How many distinct hypotheses are there in such  $\mathcal{H}$ ?. (No explanation required) [0.5]
- (b) Suppose we draw  $N$  independent examples uniformly from  $X$  with  $\mathcal{H}$  hypothesis space. Using Hoeffding's inequality: If  $\epsilon = 0.05$ , calculate the minimum number of samples  $N$  needed to ensure that the confidence is at least 95%. [0.5]
- (c) If instead of Hoeffding's, we use Chebyshev inequality then what will be  $N$ ? What is making  $N$  with Chebyshev inequality larger or smaller compared to Hoeffding's? [1]
3. Derive the closed-form (analytical) expression of weights update in Linear Regression model, define all variables and their sizes and state all assumptions. [4]
4. Write pseudo code of PLA and Pocket Algorithms. Can PLA converge? State the condition and prove if it does. [4+4]
5. Answer the following questions. [3]
- (a) Given  $\mathbf{w} = (2, -1, 0.5)$  and input  $\mathbf{x} = (1, 2, 1)$ , the perceptron prediction  $\text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x})$  is:
- (b) Current weight:  $\mathbf{w} = (0, 1, -2)$ . Misclassified point:  $\mathbf{x} = (1, -2, 3)$ ,  $y = +1$ . What is the updated weight after one PLA update?
- (c) Given  $h(\mathbf{x}) = w_0 + w_1 x$  with  $w_0 = 1.2$  and  $w_1 = 0.8$ , the predicted output for  $x = 4$  is:
6. Hoeffding's inequality provides concentration bounds for sums of independent bounded random variables. Explain mathematically why the independence assumption is essential for Hoeffding's inequality, and what breaks down when the  $X_i$ 's are not independent. Also state Azuma's Inequality and condition under which it is valid. [1+4]
7. Consider a binary classifier that applies the following quadratic feature transformation to an input vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ :

$$\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\ x_1 x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The PLA learns a linear classifier in the transformed space:  $f(\mathbf{z}) = w_0 + w_1 z_1 + w_2 z_2 = 0$ .

- (a) Derive the decision boundary equation in the original  $x$ -space in terms of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Simplify the expression as far as possible. [1]
- (b) For the following weight vectors  $(w_0, w_1, w_2)$ , identify the geometric shape of the resulting decision boundary in  $x$ -space (circle, ellipse, parabola, hyperbola, pair of lines, etc.): [2]
1.  $w_0 = -9, w_1 = 1, w_2 = 0$
  2.  $w_0 = -16, w_1 = 1, w_2 = -1$
  3.  $w_0 = 0, w_1 = 0, w_2 = 1$
  4.  $w_0 = 0, w_1 = 1, w_2 = 1$

8. You are given a linear regression model:  $\hat{y} = wx + b$  with the following training dataset:

$i$	$x_i$	$y_i$
1	1	4
2	2	7
3	3	10

The loss function is:  $L(w, b) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (wx_i + b - y_i)^2$ . Assume the initial parameters:  $w = 0, b = 0$ , and learning rate:  $\eta = 0.1$ . Answer the following:

- (a) Compute the initial cost  $L(w, b)$  at  $w = 0, b = 0$ . Perform one full step of Batch Gradient Descent (using all 3 samples). Compute updated values of  $w$  and  $b$ . [1]
  - (b) Perform two sequential steps of Stochastic Gradient Descent using samples in order  $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ . Start again from  $w = 0, b = 0$ . Show updated values after each step. [2]
9. For linear regression, the out-of-sample error is  $E_{\text{out}}(h) = \mathbb{E}[(h(x) - y)^2]$ . Show that among all hypotheses, the one that minimizes  $E_{\text{out}}$  is given by

$$h^*(x) = \mathbb{E}[y \mid x].$$

The function  $h^*$  can be treated as a deterministic target function, in which case we can write  $y = h^*(x) + \epsilon(x)$ , where  $\epsilon(x)$  is an (input-dependent) noise variable. Show that  $\epsilon(x)$  has expected value zero. [4]

**End of Paper**